



## Issue Specific Hearing 4 (ISH4): Traffic and Transport, Funding and Environmental Matters

**Application by Gloucestershire County Council for an Order granting Development Consent for the M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme (TR010063).**

**Actions arising from the ISH4 held on the 15 and 16<sup>th</sup> October 2024.**

<b>Action</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Action By</b>	<b>Deadline (D)</b>
1	Differences in the retail park junction and on Haden Lane between the GEH figure and the figure in the model – more detailed written explanation sought	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
2	Active Travel Provision and the relationship to local plan policy and NPSNN para 5.211 – Applicant will collate information into written note	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
3	Note on traffic flow increases leading to Noise consequences relative to traffic figures – from Table 2-1 in AS-080	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
4	Any concerns on safety, severance, congestion etc. in the event people follow the promoted signed diversion route.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
5	NH will check policy position regarding 'optimum solution' and PCF/DMRB design process and include in their post hearing summary	<b>NH</b>	<b>D6</b>
6	Applicant and NH to have a conversation and to discuss how scheme has been progressed and	<b>Applicant/NH</b>	<b>D6</b>



# The Planning Inspectorate

	whether other documents need to be shared		
7	Consider if an access can be provided to the safeguarded land in the no scheme world – plan to be provided.	<b>Bloor Homes</b>	<b>D6</b>
8	Consider if a commitment could be made that would facilitate that highway boundary is contiguous with the safeguarded land	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
9	Agricultural Vehicle swept path analysis for Mr Hadley’s land.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
10	Confirmation re. local flood authority position regarding flood mitigation requirements at Staverton Brook.	<b>JC</b>	<b>D6</b>
11	Consider wording of Requirement 8(3), 8(4) and 8(5). (re. pollution safeguards and inc. validation inclusion)	<b>EA / Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
12	Applicant to demonstrate how activities re requirements and <u>pre-commencement</u> necessary would be completed by October 2025.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
13	Gant chart / timeline showing that full construction programme can be met including any required surveys or licences etc.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
14	Evidence regarding extension of contract period from Homes England – March 2028 (3 month extension) <u>completion date</u> . Any subsequent update or progress with MHCLG and or HMT for an extension beyond that time.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>



# The Planning Inspectorate

15	Written submissions regarding likelihood of hope value claim and if so, is that covered in applicant's funding statement	<b>Bloor / Persimmon and Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
16	Update regarding positions regarding scheme cost and variances	<b>Applicant and NH</b>	<b>D6</b>
17	Position statement and any agreement from the UK Infrastructure Bank that the cost discrepancy (over-and-above HE monies) can be funded	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
18	Position statement regarding ability of S106 monies to be sought for a highway scheme that has already commenced.	<b>Applicant and JCs</b>	<b>D6</b>
19	Updated Funding Statement including CIL position, above items (as appropriate) and Change Requests 1 and 2.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Versions at D6 and end of Exam</b>
20	JCs to submit CIL Funding Policy agreed once relevant Joint Committee meeting has taken place 12 November 2024	<b>JCs</b>	<b>1<sup>st</sup> available deadline</b>
21	Provide updated CIL funding figure secured	<b>JCs</b>	<b>D6</b>
22	Applicant to confirm position of status within the Examination of the signatures of 'Letter of In Principle Support' [REP5-031]	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
23	Provide plan(s) showing location of planning applications submitted by signatures of 'Letter of In Principle Support' [REP5-031]	<b>JC</b>	<b>D6</b>
24	Updated information regarding agricultural access into Brunton /	<b>Mr Bower / Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>



# The Planning Inspectorate

	Counsell Land (inc. consideration of access into land via straight continuation of proposed signal spur.)		
25	JC LHA consideration of ability of DCO agricultural access proposal into Brunton / Counsell (and adjacent land plots) to provide safe and suitable access (including possible congestion effects on A4019).	<b>JC</b>	<b>D6</b>
26	Updated position regarding Article 7	<b>JC / Applicant</b>	<b>Friday 18 October or D6</b>
27	Applicant to consider St Modwen issues and provide an update regarding Article 7 (as per REP1-064)	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
28	Set out current position / differences re. DCO Articles 11, 15, 18, 20 and deemed consent concerning SRN	<b>NH and Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
29	Updated positions regarding need for bond and funding (inc. ability of HIF monies to include a Step-In right for NH)	<b>NH and Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
30	Update regarding funding and progress regarding implementation / development of the noise mitigation traffic calming scheme on Stoke Road	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
31	Provide NEMA appended plan and clarification of date / typos. Doc Ref: REP5-027-page 14	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
32	Update re. Mr Badham's property, acceptability of noise barriers and mitigation. Is the property considered as a non-designated	<b>Applicant and JC</b>	<b>D6</b>



## The Planning Inspectorate

	heritage asset. What are the implications of this?		
34	Position regarding ability to include vegetation on acoustic barriers (both sides) within space available? Would this provision be acceptable to the LHA including maintenance etc?	<b>Applicant and JC</b>	<b>D6</b>
35	Provide omitted plan from REP5-033	<b>Bloor</b>	<b>D6</b>